

G. R. S. L. 5]

Previous references.

File No. 622-09

1909.
(Muz)

Serial Nos.

8-15

294-245-2
✓

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Feb 19

SUBJECT.
Affairs of the Sri Dharmapraswami
temple at Ulsoorpet, Bangalore City

N-2302 of 12.2.19
The Compiler, Mysore Gazette.

Appointment of certain gentlemen
to supervise the

Wms

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

muznai

NOTES.

File No ⁶²²/₁₉₀₉

FILE HLADING.

Can and Karaga festival of Sri
Dharmaraya Swami temple at ulsoorpet
Bangalore city.

From

yajaman Subrayappa and certain others.

No. Petition Dated 29.4.16 Received

Diary No.	}	Enclos	-	Spare Copies.	-	}	Serial No.
1774							8

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Brings to notice certain irregularities at
the time of the celebration of Can and
Karaga festival of the Temple.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petition is addressed to the Revenue
Secretary. The Secretary's order thereon is,
as follows:—

To Br VII in Contn of previous petition

G. R. S. 12

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 622 of 1909

Serial
No.

early disposal.

The previous petition was forwarded under cover of this office letter no 2505 of 17.4.1916, for such action as might be required in the circumstances of the case.

A draft letter forwarding the present petition in encl^y, for early disposal is submitted for approval.

W(1816) am
13.5.16. 15/5/16

aff. done today
15.5.16

9. To, D.C. Bangalore Dist No 2092
of 15-5-16. Forward's report
petition complaining of certain
irregularities during Bharmaraya
Car festival.

10. Petition from Yegannan Subbarayappa
and others praying ~~that~~ that in 455th Census
may be directed to hold the census
into several irregularities connected with
the house of Bharmaraya Gannu.

Submitted with a Rpt forwarding the
in encl^y in report

W/for done
12.8.16

Serial
No.

11 No 511 of 18.8.16, 2 dylo of
Bangalore, for the above for
report in letter of this office no:
Sno 2692 of 15.5.16.

12. From, the Dy. Commr., Bangalore No. 1195
Letter no. 1195 of 12-6-18.

X
y Submits an ad-interim report
together with a copy of the order passed
by him, with ref. to para 4 of G.O.
no. 2034-5 of 24-2-1916, in the matter
of the appointment of Dharmadharma
to Dharmaraja Swami Temple.

Further report promised may
be awaited.

Submitted and
AY 18.6.18 19.6.18

Is this a major
or a minor institution according to the
present rules?

To Supts Office for kindly furnishing the
information

and
25/6/18

Subd

This institution is not included either in the list
of major or minor institutions. The temporary Deputy
Commissioner has treated this on 17.2.18 & his report
is awaited.

and
26.6.18

717 File No. 622 of 1909

Serial
No

In 1903 The income of this temple was Rs 725 - reported by the D. Cr (vide page 17 of the Memo No 71 of 1899)

25/18 The attempt of the Dy Commr to nominate Dhamadass as Landre may be requested to submit proposals in the prescribed form, suggesting a Convenor also? - From its importance, the institution may be treated as a "Major" one.

Secy)

LD.
27/6/18

Yes. Draft an order according to the D. Cr's report. and request him to state whether the instⁿ requires to be treated as a major one by virtue of its income or its importance in which case the list of Dhamadass may be subd to Govt for approval

LD.
28/6/18

LD.
28. 6. 18.

Submit with a D. Cr. order for appl.

AY
28-6-18

LD.
29. 6. 18

Secy.)

LD.
27/7/18

Approved
2.7.18

LD.
27/7/18

Muz File No. 622 of 1909.

Serial
No.

13. Nos of 5.7.18 To D.C. Bangalore
Records the letter of D.C. NO 1195
of 12.6.18 and G.O. for certain inform.

14. From, the Dy. Commr., Bangalore Dist.
Letter no. 1195 of 10.1.1909

States that considering the
income and importance, the temple
of Dharmaraya Swami may be
treated as a major insty and subm
for sanction a list of Dharmadars
including the Curdener.

It is not possible to make an
from the list of Dharmadars
whether the contending parties
have been represented.

Submitted for orders

~~MM~~ 30.1.1909 The list of Dharmadars
consists of 1 Lingayat
~~1 Brahmin~~ & 5 Thiglas

At least two of the Thiglas men
are literate viz Messrs Manna-
Venkatappa & Gajinam Subrayappa.

They are all interested in the welfare
of the temple. ^{The contending parties are also represented.} ~~which may be appd.~~

BA

31.1.09

The recommendations
of the Dy Commr. may be
Approved.

Ed.
12/19

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Leep.)

1202
Muz File No. 622 of 1909Serial
No.

Submitted for approval
 12-2-09
 Secy

Appd
 11-2-09
 11-2-09

15. No 2302 of 12-2-09. To Comptroller Mysore
 Gazette. Sanctions the appointment
 of Dharmadarsis to the work.
 No 2303 of 12-2-09. To D.C. of
 Bangalore Fds Copy of the order

G. R. S. 26]

Forwards for ~~petition~~ petition
Complaining of certain irregu-
larities during ~~Dharmaraya~~
Sanni car & Ranga ^{File No. 622}
festival? ^{Serial No. 9}

Diary No. of letter replied to 1774

No. 2692 En. 1.

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
, the 191 .)

To

The Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore Distt

Date of issue 15. 5. 1916. Sir,

Signed by M^r G. Anandraman

In continuation of
office letter no 2505 D 17
April 1916, I am directed to
forward herewith for ~~early~~
~~disposal~~ petition registered
no: 1774 D 29th April 1916
from Yajaman Subbarayappa
and ~~others of Bangalore~~
bringing to notice certain
irregularities ~~at the time of~~
committed by ~~Yerappa~~ ^{over.}

SUBJECT.

the celebration of the Car
and Karaga festival of
Sri Dharmaraya Swami

Temple at ulsoorpet, Bangalore. and to report that
~~was during the year~~

I have etc

DA
ann
15/5/6

For Rao Secretary

and his relations
at the time of

you will be so good
as to have a sitting
enquiry made into
the allegations at an
early date and submit
a report regarding the
conduct of the festivals
top the inter you receive
recommendation for the better
management of ~~the~~ ^{such} ~~festivals~~
in future.

List of enclosures,

In this connection
for which you attended
Govt. P.W.D. no 2034 -
May 622-09-5 dated
M-2 16 and 15 ^{request} ~~state~~ ^{that}
the report called for there
may be expected.

GPB-25,000-8811


Thanks
lie

Statement Showing the Particulars of persons who appear to be appointed as maunders for the Supervision of the affairs of the Sir Channarayana Swami Deost Habsroorpet Bangalore City for a period of 5 years from January 1919.

Name	Residence	Caste	Occupation	Remarks.
Mrs. <u>asavayya</u>	<u>Bangalore</u>	<u>igal</u>	<u>Advocate</u>	<u>Cause No.</u>
" <u>G. Venkataswamy</u>	<u>do</u>	<u>Brahmin</u>	<u>Reader</u>	
" <u>Yyayappa Gow</u>	<u>do</u>	<u>Shigalar</u>	<u>Pale and also the</u>	
" <u>Ganasthany, Muvils</u>	<u>do</u>	<u>do</u>	<u>Land holder</u>	
" <u>Venkata Gowda</u>	}	<u>do</u>	<u>do</u>	
" <u>M. S. Menil</u>		<u>do</u>	<u>Owner of Govt. Prem.</u>	
" <u>Gopimandula Rao</u>	<u>do</u>	<u>do</u>	<u>Land holder</u>	

10th January 1919 }
 Bangalore }
 Bangalore }
 Bangalore }

At Bangalore
 in the presence of
 Bangalore District



To

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esq., B. A., B. L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of our previous representations regarding
the affairs of the Sri Dharmarayaswari Temple, Ulsoor Gate, Bangalore
City, we beg to submit herewith, for such orders as the Government
may deem fit to pass ^{a copy} of our petition dated this day presented to the
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, Bangalore.

We beg to remain,
Sir,

Your most obedient servants,

Yours faithfully,

ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ
ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ

ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ
ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ
ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ
ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿ

ಮುಳುಗಿಸಿ ಕುರಬ್ಬಿ

Three-pie--Petition Paper.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District, Bangalore.

Sir,

We, the undersigned, beg to bring the following to your kind notice:-

We have several times brought to the notice of Government the irregularities of the Sri Dharmarayaswami Temple in the Bangalore City and are very sorry to represent that nothing has yet been done to set right matters though the Karaga ~~feasting~~ of 'another' year is fast approaching. All our petitions in the matter submitted to Government have been forwarded to your office for enquiry and report. Lately we learn that the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk, in submitting a report in the matter without due publication, seems to have sent up a list of persons to be appointed as Dharmadarsees for the supervision of affairs of the temple. Out of them Munisamy alias Palammanavara Periah and Munisami alias Bhanswadi Periah have already expressed their unwillingness to be Dharmadarsees. Yejaman Subbarayappa has also shown his unwillingness to continue on the Committee if the assistance of capable, respectable and educated people be not given to him. We therefore beg that a regular meeting be caused to be held in your presence and selection made from among the leading devotees on the merits of the wishes of the community. This will not only put a stop to all future irregularities and disturbances but will also be an opportunity to give an hearing to the existing irregularities and set right matters once for all putting an end to the so called clique existing in the people, for which the community would be most grateful.

We beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servants,

Of 10th Jan^y 1917.

Yejaman Subba Rayappa
Twenty six others

11N

12/8

R. S. 26]

I forward for report in continuation of
petition re Dhanurajya Sani
Temple]

File No. 622

Serial No. 11 1909

Diary No. of letter replied to - 210.

No. 5-11 Euc 3

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 191 .

To

The Dy Commr,
Bangalore Dist

Date of issue 18-8-1916

Signed by M. N. Srinivasan

In continuation of this
office letter no 2692 dated
15th May 1916, I am directed
to forward herewith petition
registered no. 210 of 25.7.1916
with its enclosure.

And also
complaining that the
affairs of the Dhanurajya
Sani Temple at
Ayyur, many have been
usurped by the
clerk to remain in
the same unsatisfactory
state of management and

from yejamaiah Subrayappa
with its enclosure
and others. Praying that the
one of the Dy Commr
be directed
may kindly be asked to hold
a regular enquiry into the
over.

SUBJECT.

These allegations regarding the irregularities
and ~~allegations~~ to have been committed
~~irregularities~~, at the time of the

celebration of the Car and
Kara festival of ~~the~~ ^{last year}

~~Ramanatha Swami Temple at~~

~~Mysorepet, Bangalore, (and to~~

request that you will be so good

as to expedite the submission

of the detailed reports already

in the above reference ~~to the~~ on the subject.

Called for ~~on the~~ subject.

I have etc.

And on the manuscript
you have a vision

AD)

For Genl. Secretary

80
9/8

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

2123

17 18
Muz
622-09
12

1917-18

From

Re: Comm
3 JUN 1918 Bangalore St.

To 65653

The Joint Secy: to
the Govt of Mysore
Muz: Dept:

Dated

Despatched

12/6/18 Bangalore

Received

General No.
No.

C 1195 9/11/18
H.O.

Enclosure No.

one

re the affairs of the
Sri Dhamaraya Swami temple
at Alscoorpet, Bangalore city.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, 10th June 1918.

From

P.F. Bowring, Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Joint Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No.2034-5/Muzrai 622-09-5 of the 24th February 1916, re: the affairs of the Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple at Ulsorepet in the Bangalore City, I have the honour to state that from the papers available it is found that several attempts made to bring the two parties to an amicable settlement have proved futile. The case came before me finally on 3rd April 1918 and it is agreed to on both sides that the question of the appointment of Dharmodarsis for the management of the affairs of the Institution should first be decided, leaving the other questions to them for an expression of an opinion. A copy of the order I have passed is herewith enclosed. It will be seen therefrom that seven persons should be appointed as Dharmodarsis of whom three should be from the existing management, and two from the petitioners' side, the ^{remaining} ~~remaining~~ two being nominated by the Deputy Commissioner. As for the last two places, I wrote to Messrs: B.K. Garudachar and N. Basaviah in April 1918, asking for their opinion and they have not as yet replied. Fresh selection will have to be made and sanc-


tion

sanction of Government obtained for the appointment of person
as Dharmadarsis.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



D.V.R.

 Deputy Commissioner,
Bengalore District.

O R D E R.

Heard Mr. B. Srinivasa Iyengar for the Petitioners and Mr. Ramaswami Chetty for the Opponents.

Both sides have argued this case at very great length as to the appointment of Dharmadarsies and although I have made several suggestions no agreement agreeable to both parties can be arrived at. The Petitioners objected to the present ~~Gadda~~ and Ganschar being allowed to remain in their present appointment, while the Opponents objected to Sidramappa being appointed a Dharmadarsi.

It was suggested that the Dharmadarsies should ~~consent~~^{Consent} of the ~~Gadda~~ and Ganachar and one other on the Opponents' side, two to be selected from the Petitioners' side, and two independent persons to be selected by me.

It would have been agreed to only that the Opponents insisted that Sidramappa should not be one of the Dharmadarsies, whereupon, Sidramappa's party would not agree to the ~~Gadda~~ and Ganachar. As there seems no possibility of both parties agreeing and as I have to select Dharmadarsies I must do the best possible under the circumstances.

I accordingly order that Brappa Gowda and Munisami be retained as ~~Gadda~~ and Ganachar respectively as these appointments seem to have been in their families for a long time - (although they have not produced any documentary evidence to prove their right to the appointment) and this continuance as such is likely to be popular with the majority of the caste.

These two persons will also add as Dharmadarsies, the remaining five places being filled up as follows:--

Yenkata Gowda	bin	Kanjegowda
Yejaman Subbarayappa	bin	Alarajappa
Munivenkatappa	bin	Selappa.

Mr. B.K. Garudkar and Mr. Basaviah, if these two latter gentlemen accept the appointment.

The Dharmadarsies will be requested to meet soon and suggest measures for the recovery of the 10 years' assessment on the Hong Sandra Tope as laid down in Government Order No. 2034-5/Muzrai 622-02-5 dated 24th February 1916, as well as the question of obtaining a piece of land in lieu of Survey No.27 alienated by Nanjundappa. Suggestions regarding " Kanike Dabbe " should also be submitted.

Bangalore,
3/4/1918.

SD/- P.F. Bowring,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

D.V.R.2.

" C O P Y "



Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

SUBJECT..... *Records the Dy. Commr's letter*
reporting on the appointment of Dharma-
das to Dharmaraja Swami Temple
at Ulsoorpet, Bangalore.

Diary No. of letter replied to..... *2122*

Section *muzy*

File No. *622-1909*

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

, the

191

Proceedings of the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue..... *5-7-1919*

Read.—

-Gout. Pno. no. 2034-5/

Signed by.....

muzy. 2. 622-09-5 dt 24th

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette
English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette
Kannada part?

February, 1916 passing orders
on the affairs of Sri Dharma
raya Swami Temple at Ulsoorpet
in the Bangalore city

Letter no. 1195 dt 12th

June 1918 from the Dy.
Order Thereon:—No.

Commissioner, Bangalore

Dist^y, reporting that several
attempts made to bring
the two contending parties

OVER.

to an amicable settlement have proved futile, that the case came before him finally on 3^d April 1918 and it ^{was} ~~is~~ agreed to on both sides that the question of the appointment of Phamadasis for the management of the affairs of the institution should first be decided, leaving the other questions to them for an expression of opinion, and submitting a copy of the order passed by him.

List of enclosures.

Under Memo. No. 81 d. 5th
622-09-13

Recorded.

(2) The Dy. Commr is requested to state whether the insty requires to be treated as a major one

Copied by

Examined by

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

by virtue of its income or
its importance in which
case the ^{full} list of Tharna
darsis, ^{including the embezzled} may be submitted
to Govt for approval.

lea
2-7-18

WJ ^{1/11} Joint Secy,
to, ^{1/11} Mus Dept

me Dy. Commr, Bangalore
WJ

no 1195 of 17/1/18
C. S. S. S.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District
Bangalore, 10th January 1918.

1202

18-19
M. S.

14.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,

3 A. J. C. S. S. S.

To

83041

The Joint Secretary,

to the Government of Mysore,

Uzira Building,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No. 22-92-13 of the 5th July 1918, regarding the affairs of the Dharmacharya Temple at Ulsurpet in the Bangalore City, I have the honor to inform you that considering the income of the temple and the fact that it is to be treated as a major one.

2. As regards the appointment of Dharmacharya, the Government nominees if they accept the nomination, the Government has expressed his willingness, but the Government has not yet decided the question. I have therefore referred the matter to the Pleader, in his office.

3. A list of Dharmacharya nominees, the Government has submitted for Sanction.

I am, Sir, very respectfully,

Yours faithfully,

C. S. S. S.

AV
3/1/18

Memo no. 2302 dated 12.2.1919
 Copy to the Dy. Commr,
 Bangalore Dist., with ref. to his
 letter no. 1195 of 10 1 1919.

By order
 Secy. to Govt.
 Mr. J. S. ...
 11/2/19.

List of enclosures.

Copied by
 Examined by